Astronomy & Astrophysics manuscript no. RonconiMarulli2017
March 24, 2017
©ESO 2017

Towards a cosmological exploitation of cosmic void statistics

New numerical tools in the CosmoBolognaLib to extract cosmological constraints from the void size function

Tommaso Ronconi[1,2] and Federico Marulli[2,3,4]

[1] SISSA - International School for Advanced Studies, via Bonomea 265, I-34136 Trieste, Italy
[2] Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia - Università di Bologna, viale Berti Pichat 6/2, I-40127 Bologna, Italy
[3] INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Bologna, via Ranzani 1, I-40127 Bologna, Italy
[4] INFN - Sezione di Bologna, viale Berti Pichat 6/2, I-40127 Bologna, Italy

March 24, 2017

arXiv:1703.07848v1 [astro-ph.CO] 22 Mar 2017

ABSTRACT

Context. We present new numerical tools to analyse cosmic void catalogues, implemented inside the CosmoBolognaLib, a large set of Open Source C++/Python numerical libraries.
Aims. The CosmoBolognaLib provides a common numerical environment for cosmological calculations. This work extends these libraries by adding new algorithms for cosmological analyses of cosmic voids, covering the existing gap between theory and observations.
Methods. We implemented new methods to model the size function of cosmic voids, in both observed and simulated samples of dark matter and biased tracers. Moreover, we provide new numerical tools to construct unambiguous void catalogues. The latter are designed to be independent of the void finder, in order to allow a high versatility in comparing independent results.
Results. The implemented Open Source software is available at the GitHub repository `https://github.com/federicomarulli/CosmoBolognaLib` . We provide also a full `doxygen` documentation and some example codes that explain how to use these libraries.

Key words. cosmology: theory, cosmology: observations, cosmology: large-scale structure of Universe, methods: numerical, methods: statistical

1. Introduction

Cosmic voids are large underdense structures that fill a significant volume fraction of the Universe. Their great potential as a cosmological probe for constraining dark energy and testing theories of gravity has been largely demonstrated (e.g. Li et al. 2012; Clampitt et al. 2013; Lam et al. 2015; Cai et al. 2015; Zivick et al. 2015; Barreira et al. 2015; Massara et al. 2015; Pisani et al. 2015; Pollina et al. 2016a; Hawken et al. 2016). However, there is no general consensus on how to define these objects. This represents one of the main issues in their cosmological usage. For instance, in most cases the size function of cosmic voids detected in galaxy redshift surveys cannot be compared directly to theoretical predictions, due to the different void definitions adopted in observational and theoretical studies (Colberg et al. 2005; Sutter et al. 2012; Pisani et al. 2015; Nadathur & Hotchkiss 2015).

The growing scientific interest in cosmic voids, especially as cosmological probes of the large scale structure of the Universe, did not receive an equal coverage from a numerical point of view. Many cosmic void finders have been developed during the last years (see Colberg et al. 2008, for a cross-comparison of different void finders), and some of them are publicly available (e.g. Neyrinck 2008; Sutter et al. 2015). However, there are no numerical tools available to predict cosmic void statistics and to perform cosmological analyses.

The CosmoBolognaLib (hereafter CBL, Marulli et al. 2016) is a large set of Open Source C++/Python libraries. The main goal of this software is to provide a common numerical environment for handling extragalactic source catalogues, performing statistical analyses and extracting cosmological constraints. Being a *living project*, new numerical tools are continuosly added, both to improve the existing methods and to provide new ones.

The aim of this work is to upgrade the CBL by including new algorithms to manage cosmic void catalogues. We developed a self-consistent set of functions that allow to compare observed, or simulated, void statistics with theoretical predictions. In particular, we implemented different size function models, that provide the comoving number density of cosmic voids as a function of their size, redshift, cosmological parameters, and bias of the sources used to trace the density field. Moreover, we provide numerical tools to *clean* a generic observed void sample, to be directly compared to theoretical models.

This paper is organised as follows. In §2 and §3, we describe the void size function models implemented to the CBL, for cosmic voids detected both in dark matter (DM) and in bias tracer distributions. In §4 we describe the new algorithms to manage cosmic void catalogues. Finally, in §5 we draw our conclusions. Two sample codes that explain how to use this software are reported in the appendices.

Send offprint requests to: T. Ronconi
e-mail: `tronconi@sissa.it`

2. The size function of cosmic voids in the DM distribution

The distribution of cosmic voids as a function of their size has been modelled for the first time by Sheth & van de Weygaert (2004), with the same excursion-set approach used for the mass function of DM haloes (Press & Schechter 1974; Bond et al. 1991; Lacey & Cole 1993, 1994; Zentner 2007). The key assumption is to define a cosmic void as an underdensity, originated in the DM density field, that has grown until reaching the shell crossing. It can be demonstrated that, for an initially spherical underdensity, the expanding void shells cross at a fixed value of density contrast with respect to the background (Blumenthal et al. 1992).

We implemented different models to estimate the size function of cosmic voids in the DM distribution[1]. The implemented software provides full control in the definition of the cosmological model, that guarantees a wide range of applicability for cosmological studies. The excursion-set theory applied to underdensities (Sheth & van de Weygaert 2004; Jennings et al. 2013) predicts that the fraction of the Universe occupied by cosmic voids is given by:

$$f_{\ln\sigma} = 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j\pi x^2 \sin(j\pi\mathcal{D}) \exp\left[-\frac{(j\pi x)^2}{2}\right], \quad (1)$$

where

$$x = \frac{\mathcal{D}}{|\delta_v|}\sigma$$

and

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{|\delta_v|}{\delta_c + |\delta_v|}\,.$$

σ is the square root of the variance, computed in terms of the size of the considered region:

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int k^2 P(k)|W(k,r)|^2 \mathrm{d}k\,,$$

where $P(k)$ is the matter power spectrum, $W(k,r)$ the window function, and r is the radius of the spherical underdense region defined as void. Eq. (1) is obtained by applying the excursion-set formalism with two density thresholds, one positive, $\delta_c = 1.686$, and one negative, whose typical value is $\delta_v = -2.71$. The latter is the shell-crossing threshold for underdensities. To implement Eq. (1), we applied the approximation proposed by Jennings et al. (2013), which is accurate at the $\sim 0.2\%$ level:

$$f_{\ln\sigma}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\frac{|\delta_v|}{\sigma}\exp\left(-\frac{\delta_v^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) & x \le 0.276 \\ 2\sum_{j=1}^{4} j\pi x^2 \sin(j\pi\mathcal{D}) \exp\left[-\frac{(j\pi x)^2}{2}\right] & x > 0.276 \end{cases}. \quad (2)$$

Eq. (2) is used as a kernel of the size function model. Specifically, we implemented the following three models:

– *the linear model (Sheth & van de Weygaert 2004)*:

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\ln r}\right)_{\rm linear} = \frac{f_{\ln\sigma}(\sigma)}{V(r)}\frac{\mathrm{d}\ln\sigma^{-1}}{\mathrm{d}\ln r}; \quad (3)$$

[1] The models are implemented in the `cosmobl::cosmology::Cosmology` class.



Fig. 1. The void size function predicted by four different models. The blu dot-dashed line represents the linear theory result given by Eq. (3). The red dashed line is the SvdW model given by Eq. (4). The black solid line is the Vdn model given by Eq. (5). The green dotted line is the void size function obtained from the Press & Schechter (1974) mass function modified with Eq. (7).

– *the Sheth & van de Weygaert (2004) (SvdW) model*:

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\ln r}\right)_{\rm SvdW} = \left.\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\ln r_L}\right|_{r_L = r/1.72}; \quad (4)$$

– *the volume conserving (Vdn) model (Jennings et al. 2013)*:

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\ln r}\right)_{\rm Vdn} = \frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\ln r_L}\frac{V(r_L)}{V(r)}\frac{\mathrm{d}\ln r_L}{\mathrm{d}\ln r}; \quad (5)$$

where the subscript L indicates values derived in linear theory, and V is the volume. Fig. 1 compares the void size functions predicted by these three models.

The void size function can also be predicted directly from the halo mass function[2] (see Chongchitnan & Hunt 2016, and references therein). Specifically, the probabilities that the value of the local density contrast smoothed on scale R, δ_R, is above or below a certain threshold δ_v, are related to each other by the following equation:

$$P(\delta_R < \delta_v) = 1 - P(\delta_R > \delta_v)\,. \quad (6)$$

By taking the derivative of Eq. (6) with respect to R, it results that the halo size function, that is proportional to $\mathrm{d}P(\delta_R > \delta_v)/\mathrm{d}R$, is equivalent to the void size function, that is proportional to $\mathrm{d}P(\delta_R < \delta_v)/\mathrm{d}R$. Thus, to obtain the void size function, we can use the following equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}\ln r} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln M}{\mathrm{d}\ln r}\left(M\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}M}\right) = 3M\frac{\mathrm{d}n}{\mathrm{d}M}\,. \quad (7)$$

The last equality comes from the assumed void sphericity. Eq. (7) gives the comoving number density of voids per unit effective radius in linear theory, that is the analogous to Eq. (3), with the difference that it only depends on one single underdensity threshold, δ_v. Following the same line of reasoning used to compute Eqs. (4) and (5), it is possible to derive the void size function

[2] The mass function is implemented in the `cosmobl::cosmology::Cosmology` class.

in the non-linear regime from the halo mass function. As an example, the green dotted line in Fig. 1 shows a volume conserving size function obtained from the Press & Schechter (1974) mass function. A detailed comparison between the implemented size function models with measured void number counts extracted from numerical simulations is provided in Ronconi et al. (in preparation).

3. The size function of cosmic voids in the distribution of biased tracers

To extract unbiased cosmological constraints from the number counts of cosmic voids detected in galaxy redshift surveys, the tracer bias has to be correctly taken into account (see e.g. Pollina et al. 2016a). Indeed, voids in the DM and in the DM halo density fields do not trace the same underdensity regions. To account for the effect of tracer bias, the void size function has to be modified by changing the shell-crossing underdensity threshold with a scale- and bias-dependent barrier (Furlanetto & Piran 2006).

Even though this solution might work in principle, the analytical framework is computational time consuming, and yields to underdensity threshold values that are too low to be applied in realistic tracer samples. To overcome this issue, we implemented a simpler method to embed the bias dependency in the underdensity threshold value. Pollina et al. (2016b) found that the relation between the non-linear density contrast of tracers $\delta^{NL}_{v,\mathrm{tr}}$, and matter, $\delta^{NL}_{v,\mathrm{DM}}$, around voids is linear and determined by a multiplicative constant which corresponds to the value of the bias parameter of the tracer sample, b:

$$\delta^{NL}_{v,\mathrm{tr}} = b\,\delta^{NL}_{v,\mathrm{DM}}\,. \tag{8}$$

To recover the linear density contrast of tracers, $\delta^{L}_{v,\mathrm{tr}}$, needed to compute the void size function, we apply the fitting formula provided by Bernardeau (1994) to the non-linear value given by Eq. (8), as follows:

$$\delta^{L}_{v,\mathrm{tr}} = C\,(1-(1+b\,\delta^{NL}_{v,\mathrm{DM}})^{-1/C})\,, \tag{9}$$

with $C = 1.594$.

Both Eq. 9 and its inverse have been implemented in the CBL, to recover the non-linear density contrast that is used to estimate the void expansion factor, $r(r_L)$. These built-in functions can be used to obtain the void size function with the models described in §2. An application of this method is presented in Ronconi et al. (in preparation).

4. Void Catalogue Cleaner

One of the main issues in exploiting cosmic voids as cosmological probes relies in the different void definitions adopted in observations and theoretical models. In particular, the size function models described in §2 define the cosmic voids as underdense, spherical, non-overlapped regions, that have gone through shell crossing. To extract cosmological information from void distributions it is thus required either to use the same definition when detecting voids from real galaxy samples, or to *clean* properly the void catalogues detected with standard methods.

Following the latter approach, we expanded the CBL by implementing a new algorithm to manage a detected void catalogue to make it directly comparable to model predictions[3]. The algorithm is used to select and rescale the underdense regions. The procedure is independent of the void finding algorithm used to select the underdensities. It only requires the void centre positions, and their effective radii, R_{eff}, that is the radii of the spheres having the same volume of the considered structures. Optionally, the user can also provide the central density of the region, ρ_0, and the density contrast between the central and the bordering region, δ_{stat}. Alternatively, these quantities are computed by two specific CBL functions [4].

To implement our cleaning algorithm, we follow the procedure described in Jennings et al. (2013), which can be divided in three steps.

- *First step:* we consider two selection criteria to remove *non relevant* objects, that are: *(i)* underdensities that have a central density higher than $(1+\delta^{NL}_v)\overline{\rho}$, where δ^{NL}_v is a given non-linear underdensity threshold, and $\overline{\rho}$ is the mean density of the sample; and *(ii)* underdensities whose effective radii are outside a given user-selected range $[r_{min}, r_{max}]$. We also included a third optional substep to deal with ZOBOV-like void catalogues. Specifically, we prune the underdensities that do not satisfy a statistical-significance criterium, $\delta_{stat} > \delta_{stat,0}$, where $\delta_{stat,0}$ is a multiple of the typical density contrast due to Poisson fluctuations. Threshold values for several N-σ reliabilities are reported in Neyrinck (2008). The user can select which of these three sub-steps to run.
- *Second step:* we rescale the underdensities, to select shell-crossing regions. To satisfy this requirement, we impose the same density threshold as the one used by theoretical models (Eq. (1)). To this end, our algorithm reconstructs the density profile of each void candidate in the catalogue, exploiting the highly optimised and parallelised chain-mesh algorithm implemented in the CBL[5]. After this step, the void effective radius is computed as the largest radius from the void centre which encloses an underdensity equal to δ_v.
- *Third step:* finally, we check for overlaps. This last step is aimed to avoid double countings of cosmic voids. The collection of regions left from the previous steps are scanned one by one, checking for overlappings. When two voids do overlap, one of them is rejected according to which of them has the higher central density or the lower statistical relevance. The user can choose which one of these criteria to apply. Also this step is optimised by exploiting the chain-mesh algorithm.

This cleaning algorithm returns an object of `catalogue` class, which can be handled with all the already existing CBL methods. Fig. 2 shows the size distribution of two void catalogues[6]. Red triangles show the size function of a void sample selected by the VIDE void finder (Sutter et al. 2015) from a ΛCDM N-body simulation with 256^3 DM particles, and side length of 128 Mpc/h. Blue dots show the size function obtained after running our cleaning algorithm. The loss of power at small scales is due to resolution limit. The distribution has been cutted at twice the mean particle separation of the simulation.

The black line of Fig. 2 shows the Vdn model prediction. The good agreement between the size distribution of voids in our cleaned catalogue and the Vdn model demonstrates that there is

[3] Specifically, we implemented a new dedicated catalogue *constructor* in the `cosmobl::catalogue::Catalogue` class.

[4] The two functions to compute ρ_0 and δ_{stat} are `cosmobl::compute_centralDensity()` and `cosmobl::compute_densityContrast()`, respectively.

[5] The chain-mesh algorithm is implemented in the `cosmobl::chainmesh` class.

[6] The size distribution has been obtained with the `cosmobl::catalogue::var_distr()` function of the `cosmobl::catalogue::Catalogue` class.



Fig. 2. The size distribution of two void catalogues obtained from a ΛCDM N-body simulation. Red triangles are obtained with VIDE, while blue dots are obtained after applying our cleaning algorithm. The black line shows the Vdn model.

no need to fine-tune the model parameters. A systematic comparison of different size function models is given in Ronconi et al. (in preparation), that investigate the possibility of constraining cosmological parameters from the size distribution of cosmic voids, focusing in particular on the case of biased samples.

5. Conclusions

We implemented a set of new numerical tools to analyse cosmic void catalogues and model their size distributions. The software is implemented inside the CosmoBolognaLib, a large set of Open Source C++/Python numerical libraries.

Even though some numerical toolkits for the detection of cosmic voids have been provided in literature, no cosmological libraries with void-dedicated tools are available. The CBL provides a set of highly optimised methods to handle catalogues of extragalactic sources, to measure statistical quantities, such as two-point and three-point correlation functions, and to perform Bayesian statistical analyses, specifically to derive cosmological constraints. This set of libraries is a *living project*, constantly expanding and upgrading. The aim of this work was to upgrade the existing software by adding new numerical tools for a cosmological exploitation of cosmic void statistics.

We implemented different size function models, for voids detected in both DM and DM halo density fields. Moreover, we provide a catalogue cleaning algorithm, that can be used to manage void samples obtained with any void finder. This point is crucial in order to offer a common ground to compare results obtained independently. A new void finder based on dynamical criteria, fully integrated in the CBL, will be released in the next future (Elyiv et al. 2015; Cannarozzo et al. in preparation).

The `doxygen` documentation of all the classes and methods implemented in this work is provided at the same webpage where the libraries can be downloaded, together with a set of sample codes that show how to use this software.

Acknowledgments

We thank C. Cannarozzo, L. Moscardini and A. Veropalumbo for useful discussions about numerical and scientific issues related to these libraries.

References

Barreira, A., Cautun, M., Li, B., Baugh, C. M., & Pascoli, S. 2015, J. Cosm. Astro-Particle Phys., 8 [arXiv:1505.05809]
Bernardeau, F. 1994, Astrophys. J., 427, 51
Blumenthal, G. R., da Costa, L. N., Goldwirth, D. S., Lecar, M., & Piran, T. 1992, Astrophys. J., 388, 234
Bond, J. R., Cole, S., Efstathiou, G., & Kaiser, N. 1991, Astrophys. J., 379, 440
Cai, Y.-C., Padilla, N., & Li, B. 2015, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 451 [arXiv:1410.1510]
Cannarozzo, C. et al. in preparation
Chongchitnan, S. & Hunt, M. 2016, ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1612.09333]
Clampitt, J., Cai, Y.-C., & Li, B. 2013, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 431, 749
Colberg, J. M., Pearce, F., Foster, C., et al. 2008, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 387, 933
Colberg, J. M., Sheth, R. K., Diaferio, A., Gao, L., & Yoshida, N. 2005, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 360, 216
Elyiv, A., Marulli, F., Pollina, G., et al. 2015, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 448, 642
Furlanetto, S. R. & Piran, T. 2006, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 366, 467
Hawken, A. J., Granett, B. R., Iovino, A., et al. 2016, ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1611.07046]
Jennings, E., Li, Y., & Hu, W. 2013, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 434, 2167
Lacey, C. & Cole, S. 1993, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 262, 627
Lacey, C. & Cole, S. 1994, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 271, 676
Lam, T. Y., Clampitt, J., Cai, Y.-C., & Li, B. 2015, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 450 [arXiv:1408.5338]
Li, B., Zhao, G.-B., & Koyama, K. 2012, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 421 [arXiv:1111.2602]
Marulli, F., Veropalumbo, A., & Moresco, M. 2016, Astronomy and Computing, 14, 35
Massara, E., Villaescusa-Navarro, F., Viel, M., & Sutter, P. M. 2015, J. Cosm. Astro-Particle Phys., 11, 018
Nadathur, S. & Hotchkiss, S. 2015, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 454, 2228
Neyrinck, M. C. 2008, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 386, 2101
Pisani, A., Sutter, P. M., Hamaus, N., et al. 2015, Phys. Rev. D, 92, 083531
Pollina, G., Baldi, M., Marulli, F., & Moscardini, L. 2016a, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 455, 3075
Pollina, G., Hamaus, N., Dolag, K., et al. 2016b, ArXiv e-prints [arXiv:1610.06176]
Press, W. H. & Schechter, P. 1974, Astrophys. J., 187, 425
Ronconi, T. et al. in preparation
Sheth, R. K. & van de Weygaert, R. 2004, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 350, 517
Springel, V. 2005, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 364, 1105
Sutter, P. M., Lavaux, G., Hamaus, N., et al. 2015, Astronomy and Computing, 9, 1
Sutter, P. M., Lavaux, G., Wandelt, B. D., & Weinberg, D. H. 2012, Astrophys. J., 761, 44
Zentner, A. R. 2007, International Journal of Modern Physics D, 16, 763
Zivick, P., Sutter, P. M., Wandelt, B. D., Li, B., & Lam, T. Y. 2015, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 451, 4215

Appendix A: Size Function Example

The following Python code illustrates how to compute the theoretical size function of cosmic voids for a given cosmological model[7]. This is done by creating an object of `Cosmology` class and then using one of its internal functions to compute the size function. Alternatively to what shown in this example, the free model parameters can be set via a parameter file menaged by a dedicated class (i.e. `cosmobl::ReadParameters`), as shown in the CBL webpage.

```python
# import cosmological functions
from CosmoBolognaLib import Cosmology

# define a cosmological model, using default parameters
cosm = Cosmology()

# effective void radius
R = 10.

# redshift
z = 0.

# linear underdensity threshold
del_v = cosm.deltav_L()

# linear overdensity threshold
del_c = cosm.deltac(0.)

# size function
sf = cosm.size_function(R, z, del_v, del_c, "SvdW")

print 'the size function at R =', R, 'Mpc/h and at z =', z
    ,'is', '%.e' % sf, '(h/Mpc)^3'
```

Listing 1. Example of how to compute the SvdW void size function for a given cosmological model.

Appendix B: Void Catalogue Cleaning Example

The following C++ code shows how to construct a catalogue of spherical non-overlapped voids which have gone through shell-crossing, and how to store it in an ASCII file[8].

On step I, an ASCII void catalogue[9] is read by the *constructor*, searching for columns containing the requested attributes. On step II, we load the original N-body simulation snapshot into an halo catalogue. Optionally, the user can use a *constructor* specifically designed to read binary output files obtained with GADGET-2 (Springel 2005). We then compute the snapshot properties (i.e. volume and mean particle separation), and use them to store the DM particle positions in a chain-mesh. On step III, after computing the central densities of voids in the input catalogue, we call the catalogue *constructor* which implements the algorithm described in §4. Finally, we use a built-in function of the CBL to store the new catalogue in an ASCII file.

```cpp
// include the header file of libCAT.so
#include "Catalogue.h"

// the CosmoBolognaLib and current directories
string cosmobl::par::DirCosmo = DIRCOSMO
string cosmobl::par::DirLoc = DIRL;

int main () {

  try {

    // ASCII void catalogue
    string file_voids_in = cosmobl::par::DirLoc+"../input/
        vide_void_catalogue.txt";

    // vector containing the variable name list
    vector<cosmobl::catalogue::Var> var_names_voids = {
        cosmobl::catalogue::Var::_X_, cosmobl::catalogue::
        Var::_Y_, cosmobl::catalogue::Var::_Z_, cosmobl::
        catalogue::Var::_Radius_};

    // vector containing the columns
    // corresponding to each attribute
    vector<int> columns_voids = {1, 2, 3, 5};

    // catalogue constructor
    cosmobl::catalogue::Catalogue void_catalogue_in {
        cosmobl::catalogue::_Void_, cosmobl::
        _comovingCoordinates_, var_names_voids,
        columns_voids, {file_voids_in}, 1};

    // make a shared pointer to void_catalogue_in
    auto input_voidCata = make_shared<cosmobl::catalogue::
        Catalogue> (cosmobl::catalogue::Catalogue(move(
        void_catalogue_in)));


    // ----------------------------------------
    // ----- build the tracer catalogue ------
    // ----------------------------------------

    // binary halo gadget snapshot
    string file_tracers = cosmobl::par::DirLoc+"../input/
        tracers_catalogue.txt";

    // vector containing the variable name list
    vector<cosmobl::catalogue::Var> var_names_tracers = {
        cosmobl::catalogue::Var::_X_, cosmobl::catalogue::
        Var::_Y_, cosmobl::catalogue::Var::_Z_};

    // vector containing the column
    // corresponding to each attribute
    vector<int> columns_tracers = {1, 2, 3};

    // catalogue constructor
    cosmobl::catalogue::Catalogue tracers_catalogue {
        cosmobl::catalogue::_Halo_, cosmobl::
        _comovingCoordinates_, var_names_tracers,
        columns_tracers, {file_tracers}, 1};

    // compute simulation properties
    tracers_catalogue.compute_cataloguePropertiess();

    // store the mean particle separation
    double mps = tracers_catalogue.mps();

    // generate the chain mesh
    // of the inpute tracer catalogue
    cosmobl::chainmesh::ChainMesh3D ChM(2*mps,
        tracers_catalogue.var(cosmobl::catalogue::Var::_X_
        ), tracers_catalogue.var(cosmobl::catalogue::Var::
        _Y_), tracers_catalogue.var(cosmobl::catalogue::
        Var::_Z_), void_catalogue_in.Max(cosmobl::
        catalogue::Var::_Radius_));

    // make a shared pointer to tracers_catalogue
    auto input_tracersCata = make_shared<cosmobl::
        catalogue::Catalogue> (cosmobl::catalogue::
        Catalogue(move(tracers_catalogue)));


    // ----------------------------------------------
    // ----- build the cleaned void catalogue -----
    // ----------------------------------------------

    // compute the central densities
    void_catalogue_in.compute_centralDensity(
        tracers_catalogue, ChM);

    // the selection criteria,
    // of the First step of the cleaning method, to apply
    // (see Sec. 4)
    vector<bool> clean = {true, true, false};

    // the interval of accepted radii
    vector<double> delta_r = {0.5, 50.};
```

[7] We used a similar code to obtain the model size distributions shown in Fig. 1.

[8] We used a similar code to obtain the size distributions shown by red and blue points in Fig. 2.

[9] The provided input catalogue has been obtained with VIDE from a 128 Mpc side length ΛCDM N-body simulation, with 256^3 DM particles.

```
75      // the density threshold
76      double threshold = 0.21;
77
78      // the minimum accepted density contrast
79      double relevance = 1.57;
80
81      //catalogue constructor
82      cosmobl::catalogue::Catalogue void_catalogue_out {
            input_voidCata, clean, delta_r, threshold,
            relevance, true, input_tracersCata, ChM, true,
            cosmobl::catalogue::Var::_CentralDensity_};
83
84      // store the catalogue in an ASCII file
85      var_names_voids.emplace_back(cosmobl::catalogue::Var::
            _CentralDensity_);
86      string cata_out = cosmobl::par::DirLoc+"../output/
            void_catalogue_cleaned.out";
87      void_catalogue_out.write_data(cata_out,
            var_names_voids);
88
89    }
90
91    // catch possible exceptions
92    catch (cosmobl::glob::Exception &exc) { std::cerr << exc
          .what() << std::endl; }
93
94    return 0;
95  }
```

Listing 2. Example of how to clean a void catalogue to obtain a new catalogue of spherical, non-overlapped voids gone through shell crossing.